

Red Rock Canyon State Park General Plan Amendment

PUBLIC MEETING #1 June 14, 2003

VERBAL COMMENTS

Dave Matthews-

- o Recommend SRA at least for a portion of the new acreage.
- o To allow activities not allowed in State Parks

• Russ Johnson, Senator Ashburn's Office-

- Concern for advance notice to public. Thinks time to review and comment not adequate
- o Reference: Letter of March 2003 to planning team
- New Letter read

• Georgette Theotig.-

- Likes increased protection of Red Buttes
- o Concerns for impacts in Nightmare Gulch
- o How monitor & enforce permit in Nightmare Gulch
- Historical Use should be second to protection of resources from impacts

Dean Webb-

- Not obviously represented here is general tourist
- O Suggest team look at how general tourist will use Last Chance Canyon

• Geoff Teare-

- o Thanks for Nightmare Gulch
- Want to see science behind other closures
- o Keep Steep trail & "pinnacles for 4WD & MC (technical route)
- Steep trail to Rockhouse
- o Open

• Stan Haye-

- o Good overall plan
- o Close Nightmare Gulch
- o Agrees with other closures
- o Lot of other technical routes outside Red Rock
- o Closure First- prove why need to open

Greg Benecke-

o Glad to see Astronomy needs met

• Jerry Grimsley-

- Suggested permit to Nightmare Gulch a hindrance
- o Recommend self-registration process.

Ron Schiller-

- o Concern for confining equestrians to existing roads & trails
- Rockhounding- consider existing published areas outside Opal mines in Last Chance Canyon
- o Recommend form AD Hoc park user committee
- o Nightmare Gulch- Permit should be for all not just one user group
- Agrees with earlier comments to give more time to review documents prior to meetings

• Ed Waldheim-

- o DPR- is a bout preservation
- o DPR/OHV Division- is about Multi-use
- o Designate claims- and show them on the map
- o Trails are not a fragmentation of habitat
- o Don't want to lose vehicle access to trails
- o We can help manage
- o Don't close anything until West Mojave Plan process complete
- o Corner at Pleasant Valley is private property. You can't direct people across private property.
- o Threat to challenge classification with legislation for SRA
- Want to help preserve with access
- o SVRA's contain the best resources of DPR

• Bugs Fontaine-

- o This is not new info
- Why are we here-"... because Red Rock is a special place"
- o Do what's right for the resources.

Paul Condon-

• Would appreciate advance info to better comment on plan.

• Jeanie Haye-

o Disappointed Nightmare Gulch open

• Reginald East-

- o Disagree with Ashburn & CORVA
- Thanks for effort
- o Good plan
- o With 1200 miles trails in Dove/Jawbone, why so much pressure here.
- o You will have to amend DPR mission if CORVA gets its way
- o If class SRA will create problems can't enforce if Last Chance Canyon classified differently from existing park
- o Tourism includes international. Red Rock is known internationally

• Darrel Readmond-

 Question: Are all routes open to motorized vehicles also open to green sticker vehicles. If so, why? Concern for Conflict on roads by Equestrian & MCs, Quads.

Ted Eyde-

- Unpatented mining claim between private in S. Please modify boundary of present to accommodate claim.
- o Has conditional-use permit & reclamation plan approved by Kern County.

• Joe Fontaine-

- o Has been using Red Rock for 50 years
- o Likes plan
- Object to Nightmare Gulch being open
- o More OHV opportunities are coming to area outside Red Rock
- As an amateur astronomer likes dark sky preservation guideline
- Questions what is possible to hunt in area? Recommends we consult Department of Fish & Game for information
- o Rockhounding-Most "float" is gone. Likes idea that it could be offered in Opal Mines area.